1 Ben C. Martin bmartin@martinbaughman.com 2 Texas Bar No. 13052400 Martin|Baughman 3 3141 Hood St. Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75219 T: 214-761-6614 4 F: 214-744-7590 5 Appearing In Propria Persona 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 In Re Bard IVC Filters Products No. MDL-15-02641-PHX-DGC Liability Litigation **BCM's MOTION AND** 9 MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT TO FILE DECLARATION AND 10 EXHIBITS UNDER SEAL (Assigned to the Honorable David G. 11 Campbell) 12 13 Comes now the Law Offices of Ben C. Martin and the law firm of 14 Martin Baughman (collectively, "BCM"), and submits this Motion and 15 Memorandum in Support to File Declaration and Exhibits Under Seal, and in 16 support thereof would respectfully show the Court as follows: 17 The Declaration of Ben C. Martin provides the factual basis for BCM's 18 Emergency Motion to Stay Distribution Pending Appeal ("BCM's Motion"), and 19 the Declaration itself contains settlement amounts which are confidential per the 20 settlement agreement. Further, the Exhibits attached to the Declaration reveal the 21 identities of BCM's clients – including clients who never filed a lawsuit against 22

1

8

9

7

10 11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Defendants. Although "access to judicial records is not absolute," parties seeking to seal a judicial record must satisfy the "compelling reasons" standard.¹ Compelling reasons to seal records exist when the records: (1) "could be a vehicle for improper purposes, such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets;"² (2) contain "information about proprietary business operations, [or] a company's business model or agreements with clients;" and (3) reveal "sales figures, advertising numbers, and strategy information."4

Here, the Declaration and Exhibits to the Declaration of Ben C. Martin should be filed under seal for each of the three compelling reasons articulated by courts in this Circuit. Under the first prong, the facts in the Declaration and Exhibits could be a vehicle for improper purposes because they reveal the number and identities of people who have settled their claims with Defendants, including those who never filed a lawsuit against Defendants, and settlement amounts. Public availability of the above information permits improper use: (1) by an industry competitor to gratify its spite against Defendants or Plaintiffs' counsel; (2) by media to promote public scandal against medical devices, Plaintiffs' counsel, or the BCM

¹ Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006).

² *Id.* at 1179.

³ Selling Source, LLC v. Red River Ventures, LLC, 2:09-CV-01491-JCM, 2011 WL 1630338 (D. Nev. Apr. 29, 2011).

⁴ JL Bev. Co. v. Beam, Inc., No. 2:11-cv-00417-MMD-CWH, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35370, at *10 (D. Nev. 2013), rev'd on other grounds, JL Bev. Co., LLC v. Jim Beam Brands Co., 828 F.3d 1098, 1101 (9th Cir. 2016).

clients identified in the Exhibits; and/or (3) by litigants and litigators to circulate libelous statements against law firms or BCM's clients who may have achieved less (or more) success against Defendants. Accordingly, compelling reasons support filing the Exhibits under seal.

Under the second and third prongs, the Declaration and Exhibits should be sealed because they reveal BCM's agreements with specifically identified clients, who have not filed a lawsuit against Defendants, and the amounts of settlements. Those identities and settlement amounts, while necessary and sufficient to justify the relief sought by BCM's Motion, serve no other legitimate purpose when publicly disseminated. To the contrary, keeping the identities and monetary amounts private — especially with respect to those who never filed a lawsuit against Defendants — allows BCM and its clients to benefit from their agreements without fear of public disclosure or future harassment. Moreover, BCM's clients have an interest in not having their individual litigation, injury, and settlement status publicly revealed. Accordingly, compelling reasons support filing the Exhibits under seal.

Additionally, the Ninth Circuit has observed "the public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions, and related materials, do not apply with equal force to non-dispositive materials." Here, the Declaration and Exhibits support a non-dispositive motion, which will not impact the public's understanding of the claims against Defendants in the MDL or the final resolution of those claims.

⁵ *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179.

1 Accordingly, the Court should permit BCM to file the Declaration of Ben C. Martin 2 and Exhibits to the Declaration under seal. WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Court should grant this 3 4 Motion in its entirety, permit BCM to file the Declaration of Ben C. Martin and the 5 Exhibits attached to the Declaration under seal, and provide BCM with all such 6 other and further relief to which it may show itself justly entitled. 7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of June, 2022. 8 /s/ Ben C. Martin Ben C. Martin 9 bmartin@martinbaughman.com Martin|Baughman 3141 Hood St. Suite 600 10 Dallas, Texas 75219 11 T: 214-761-6614 F: 214-744-7590 12 Appearing In Propria Persona 13 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 14 I hereby certify that on this 6th day of June, 2022, I electronically transmitted 15 the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing 16 and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing. 17 /s/ Ben C. Martin Ben C. Martin 18 19 20 21 22